

# Geometric interpretations for the algebra of conformal blocks

Angela Gibney

The University of Georgia

2015

This talk is about recent work with  
Prakash Belkale and Anna Kazanova.

# Conformal blocks

# Conformal blocks

informally, these are vector spaces  $V_{(C, \vec{p})}$  that one can associate to any stable  $n$ -pointed curve  $(C, \vec{p})$  of genus  $g$ .

# Conformal blocks

informally, these are vector spaces  $V_{(C, \vec{p})}$  that one can associate to any stable  $n$ -pointed curve  $(C, \vec{p})$  of genus  $g$ .

They were originally constructed using integrable representations of simple affine Lie algebras by Tsuchiya, Ueno, Yamada (1989).

Vector spaces  $V_{(C, \vec{\rho})} = V(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)_{(C, \vec{\rho})}$

# Vector spaces $V_{(C, \vec{\rho})} = V(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)_{(C, \vec{\rho})}$

are determined by:

- (1) a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$
- (2) a positive integer  $\ell$ ;
- (3) an  $n$ -tuple  $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of dominant integral weights for  $\mathfrak{g}$  at level  $\ell$ .

If  $C$  is a smooth curve:



If  $C$  is a smooth curve:

There is a canonical isomorphism:

$$V_{(C, \vec{p})}^* \cong H^0(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})}),$$

If  $C$  is a smooth curve:

There is a canonical isomorphism:

$$V_{(C, \vec{p})}^* \cong H^0(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})}),$$

where  $X_{(C, \vec{p})}$  is a projective variety and  $L_{(C, \vec{p})}$  is a natural ample line bundle on it.

## If $C$ is a smooth curve:

There is a canonical isomorphism:

$$V_{(C,\vec{p})}^* \cong H^0(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}),$$

where  $X_{(C,\vec{p})}$  is a projective variety and  $L_{(C,\vec{p})}$  is a natural ample line bundle on it.

Beauville Laszlo 1994;

Faltings 1994;

Kumar, Narasimhan, Ramanathan 1994;

## If $C$ is a smooth curve:

There is a canonical isomorphism:

$$V_{(C,\vec{p})}^* \cong H^0(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}),$$

where  $X_{(C,\vec{p})}$  is a projective variety and  $L_{(C,\vec{p})}$  is a natural ample line bundle on it.

Beauville Laszlo 1994;

Faltings 1994;

Kumar, Narasimhan, Ramanathan 1994;

Laszlo, Sorger 1997;

Pauly 1996.

Crucially:

Crucially:

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} V[m]_{(C, \vec{p})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})}^{\otimes m}),$$

$$V[m] = V(\mathfrak{g}, m\vec{\lambda}, m\ell).$$

Crucially:

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} V[m]_{(C, \vec{p})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})}^{\otimes m}),$$

$$V[m] = V(\mathfrak{g}, m\vec{\lambda}, m\ell).$$

for example, if

$$\mathbb{V}[m] = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \{m\lambda_1, \dots, m\lambda_n\}, m\ell),$$

then

$$m\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^r m c_j \omega_j.$$

This is interesting because:



# This is interesting because:

On the one hand, it tells you the algebra of conformal blocks (on the left), is finitely generated;

# This is interesting because:

On the one hand, it tells you the algebra of conformal blocks (on the left), is finitely generated;

On the other hand, one can compute the dimensions of the vector spaces  $V[m]$  using the Verlinde formula, and study  $X_{(C, \vec{p})}$ .

A natural question:

# A natural question:

Do such geometric interpretations hold for

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} V[m]_{(C, \vec{p})}^*$$

at **SINGULAR** curves  $C$ ?

Another way to say this:

## Another way to say this:

Given a point  $(C, \vec{\rho}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , where  $C$  is **SINGULAR**, and a vector space of conformal blocks  $V_{(C, \vec{\rho})}$ , can one always find a projective polarized pair  $(X_{(C, \vec{\rho})}, L_{(C, \vec{\rho})})$  such that

## Another way to say this:

Given a point  $(C, \vec{\rho}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , where  $C$  is **SINGULAR**, and a vector space of conformal blocks  $V_{(C, \vec{\rho})}$ , can one always find a projective polarized pair  $(X_{(C, \vec{\rho})}, L_{(C, \vec{\rho})})$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} V[m]_{(C, \vec{\rho})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(X_{(C, \vec{\rho})}, L_{(C, \vec{\rho})}^{\otimes m})?$$

## Another way to say this:

Given a point  $(C, \vec{\rho}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , where  $C$  is **SINGULAR**, and a vector space of conformal blocks  $V_{(C, \vec{\rho})}$ , can one always find a projective polarized pair  $(X_{(C, \vec{\rho})}, L_{(C, \vec{\rho})})$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} V[m]_{(C, \vec{\rho})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(X_{(C, \vec{\rho})}, L_{(C, \vec{\rho})}^{\otimes m})?$$

The answer is **NO**.



## Another way to say this:

Given a point  $(C, \vec{\rho}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , where  $C$  is **SINGULAR**, and a vector space of conformal blocks  $V_{(C, \vec{\rho})}$ , can one always find a projective polarized pair  $(X_{(C, \vec{\rho})}, L_{(C, \vec{\rho})})$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} V[m]_{(C, \vec{\rho})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(X_{(C, \vec{\rho})}, L_{(C, \vec{\rho})}^{\otimes m})?$$

The answer is **NO**.

Counter examples are given by vector bundles of conformal blocks.

# Vector bundles of conformal blocks

$$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell) \text{ on } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

# Vector bundles of conformal blocks

$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$   
given by:

- (1) a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$
- (2) a positive integer  $\ell$ ;
- (3) an  $n$ -tuple  $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of dominant integral weights for  $\mathfrak{g}$  at level  $\ell$ .

# Vector bundles of conformal blocks

$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$   
given by:

- (1) a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$
- (2) a positive integer  $\ell$ ;
- (3) an  $n$ -tuple  $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of dominant integral weights for  $\mathfrak{g}$  at level  $\ell$ .

At  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ ,

$$\mathbb{V}|_{(C, \vec{p})} = V_{(C, \vec{p})}.$$

# Vector bundles of conformal blocks

$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$   
given by:

- (1) a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$
- (2) a positive integer  $\ell$ ;
- (3) an  $n$ -tuple  $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of dominant integral weights for  $\mathfrak{g}$  at level  $\ell$ .

At  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ ,

$$\mathbb{V}|_{(C, \vec{p})} = V_{(C, \vec{p})}.$$

For  $g = 0$  the bundles are globally generated and so  $c_1(\mathbb{V})$  are base point free.

# Vector bundles of conformal blocks

$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$   
given by:

- (1) a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$
- (2) a positive integer  $\ell$ ;
- (3) an  $n$ -tuple  $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of dominant integral weights for  $\mathfrak{g}$  at level  $\ell$ .

At  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ ,

$$\mathbb{V}|_{(C, \vec{p})} = V_{(C, \vec{p})}.$$

For  $g = 0$  the bundles are globally generated and so  $c_1(\mathbb{V})$  are base point free.

Fakhruddin (improved by Mukhopadhyay) ( $g=0$ ), and Marian, Oprea, Pandharipande ( $g > 0$ ) have given formulas for  $c_1(\mathbb{V})$ .

## Theorem (Belkale, G, Kazanova)

*There are vector bundles of conformal blocks  $\mathbb{V}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , and points  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , for which there are no polarized varieties  $(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})})$  such that*

$$\bigoplus_m V[m]_{(C, \vec{p})}^* \cong \bigoplus_m H^0(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})}^{\otimes m})$$

*holds.*

For example:



# For example:

There is no geometric interpretation for the algebra of conformal blocks

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \emptyset, m)|_C^*, \text{ on } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$$

where  $C$  is the curve with a separating node.

Similarly:

## Similarly:

There is no geometric interpretation for the algebra of conformal blocks

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \{m \omega_1, \dots, m \omega_1\}, m) \Big|_{(C, \bar{p})}^*, \quad \text{on } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}, \quad n \text{ even}$$

where  $C$  is the curve with a separating node.

To prove this theorem:

## To prove this theorem:

We use intersection theory on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$   
to give obstructions to geometric interpretations.

## To prove this theorem:

We use intersection theory on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$   
to give obstructions to geometric interpretations.

We did this in cases where if geometric  
interpretations were to hold, then we would have  
useful information about the polarized varieties

$$(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}),$$

at boundary points  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ .

## To prove this theorem:

We use intersection theory on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  to give obstructions to geometric interpretations.

We did this in cases where if geometric interpretations were to hold, then we would have useful information about the polarized varieties

$$(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}),$$

at boundary points  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ .

For example, we found obstructions in case

$$\Delta(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}) = 0.$$

## Theorem (Belkale, G, Kazanova)

Suppose that  $\mathbb{V}$  has  $\Delta$ -invariant zero rank scaling, and that for all  $(C, \vec{p})$ , there are polarized pairs  $(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})})$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}[m]|_{(C, \vec{p})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})}^{\otimes m}).$$

Then for  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$c_1(\mathbb{V}[m]) = \sum_{i=1}^D \alpha_i(m) c_1(\mathbb{V}[i]), \quad (1)$$

the  $\alpha_i(m)$  are (explicitly given) polynomials in  $m$ , and  $D$  is the volume of  $\mathbb{V}$ .



For example:

## For example:

If there were a geometric interpretation for the algebra of conformal blocks

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \emptyset, m)|_C^*, \text{ at all points } C \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$$

## For example:

If there were a geometric interpretation for the algebra of conformal blocks

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \emptyset, m)|_C^*, \text{ at all points } C \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$$

$$\text{rk } \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \emptyset, m) = \binom{m+3}{3} \implies \mathbb{V} \text{ has } (\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(1)) \text{-scaling,}$$

## For example:

If there were a geometric interpretation for the algebra of conformal blocks

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \emptyset, m)|_C^*, \text{ at all points } C \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$$

$$\text{rk } \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \emptyset, m) = \binom{m+3}{3} \implies \mathbb{V} \text{ has } (\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(1)) \text{-scaling,}$$

and

$$c_1(\mathbb{V}[m]) = \binom{m+3}{4} c_1(\mathbb{V}). \quad (2)$$

## For example:

If there were a geometric interpretation for the algebra of conformal blocks

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \emptyset, m)|_C^*, \text{ at all points } C \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$$

$$\text{rk } \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \emptyset, m) = \binom{m+3}{3} \implies \mathbb{V} \text{ has } (\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(1)) \text{-scaling,}$$

and

$$c_1(\mathbb{V}[m]) = \binom{m+3}{4} c_1(\mathbb{V}). \quad (2)$$

we show Eq 2 fails by intersecting with F-curves.

# The $\Delta$ -invariant of a projective variety

# The $\Delta$ -invariant of a projective variety

## Definition

Let  $X$  be an irreducible projective variety with ample line bundle  $L$ . The  $\Delta$ -invariant of the pair  $(X, L)$  is

$$\Delta(X, L) = \dim(X) + L^{\dim(X)} - h^0(X, L).$$

If  $\Delta(X, L) = 0$



If  $\Delta(X, L) = 0$

(Fujita)  $L$  is very ample, and embeds  $X$  into a projective space whose image has

$$\deg(X) = h^0(X, L) - \dim(X) = 1 + \text{codim}(X).$$

If  $\Delta(X, L) = 0$

(Fujita)  $L$  is very ample, and embeds  $X$  into a projective space whose image has

$$\deg(X) = h^0(X, L) - \dim(X) = 1 + \text{codim}(X).$$

For any nondegenerate variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$

$$\deg(X) \geq 1 + \text{codim}(X).$$

If  $\Delta(X, L) = 0$

(Fujita)  $L$  is very ample, and embeds  $X$  into a projective space whose image has

$$\deg(X) = h^0(X, L) - \dim(X) = 1 + \text{codim}(X).$$

For any nondegenerate variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$

$$\deg(X) \geq 1 + \text{codim}(X).$$

$\Delta(X, L) = 0 \implies X$  a proj. variety of minimal degree.

This is great for three reasons:

# This is great for three reasons:

(1) Suppose that every point  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , there exists  $(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})})$ , such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}|_{(C,\vec{p})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}),$$

# This is great for three reasons:

(1) Suppose that every point  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , there exists  $(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})})$ , such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}|_{(C,\vec{p})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}),$$

$\Delta(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}) = 0$  some  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ ,

# This is great for three reasons:

(1) Suppose that every point  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , there exists  $(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})})$ , such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}|_{(C,\vec{p})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}),$$

$\Delta(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}) = 0$  some  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ ,

$$\implies \Delta(X_{(C,\vec{p})}, L_{(C,\vec{p})}) = 0 \quad \forall (C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}.$$





(2) Projective varieties of minimal degree are known:

(2) Projective varieties of minimal degree are known:

0. rational normal curves;
1. projective spaces;
2. quadric hypersurfaces;
3. rational normal scrolls;
4. Veronese surfaces; and
5. Cones over the above.

(3) The function

$$f(m) = \text{rk } \mathbb{V}[m]$$

determines the Delta invariant

$$\Delta(X, L) = \dim(X) + L^{\dim(X)} - h^0(X, L).$$

(3) The function

$$f(m) = \text{rk } \mathbb{V}[m]$$

determines the Delta invariant

$$\Delta(X, L) = \dim(X) + L^{\dim(X)} - h^0(X, L).$$

1.  $f(1) = h^0(X, L),$

(3) The function

$$f(m) = \text{rk } \mathbb{V}[m]$$

determines the Delta invariant

$$\Delta(X, L) = \dim(X) + L^{\dim(X)} - h^0(X, L).$$

1.  $f(1) = h^0(X, L),$
2.  $\deg(f(m)) = \dim(X);$

(3) The function

$$f(m) = \text{rk } \mathbb{V}[m]$$

determines the Delta invariant

$$\Delta(X, L) = \dim(X) + L^{\dim(X)} - h^0(X, L).$$

1.  $f(1) = h^0(X, L),$
2.  $\deg(f(m)) = \dim(X);$  and
3.  $c \cdot \deg(f(m))! = L^{\dim(X)},$   
where  $c$  is the leading coefficient of  $f(m).$

(3) The function

$$f(m) = \text{rk } \mathbb{V}[m]$$

determines the Delta invariant

$$\Delta(X, L) = \dim(X) + L^{\dim(X)} - h^0(X, L).$$

1.  $f(1) = h^0(X, L)$ ,
2.  $\deg(f(m)) = \dim(X)$ ; and
3.  $c \cdot \deg(f(m))! = L^{\dim(X)}$ ,  
where  $c$  is the leading coefficient of  $f(m)$ .


Definition

$$\text{Vol } \mathbb{V} = L^{\dim(X)}.$$

For example:

0.  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{V}[m]) = dm + 1$ , then  $\mathbb{V}$  has  $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d))$ -scaling;
1.  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{V}[m]) = \binom{d+m}{m}$ ,  $\mathbb{V}$  has  $(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(1))$ -scaling;
2.  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{V}[m]) = 2\binom{m+d-1}{d} + \binom{m+d-1}{d-1}$ ,  
 $\mathbb{V}$  has quadric hypersurface scaling;
3.  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{V}[m]) = (m+1)\left(1 + \frac{m(a+b)}{2}\right)$ ,  
 $\mathbb{V}$  has  $(S(a, b), \mathcal{O}(1))$  scaling<sup>1</sup>;
4.  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{V}[m]) = (m+1)(2m+1)$ ,  
 $\mathbb{V}$  has Veronese surface scaling;

---

<sup>1</sup>there are more general scrolls  $S(a_1, \dots, a_d) = (\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(1))$  



## Definition

We say that  $\mathbb{V}$  has  $\Delta$ -invariant zero rank scaling if  $\Delta(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})}) = 0$  for  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  such that

$$\mathbb{V}|_{(C, \vec{p})}^* \cong H^0(X_{(C, \vec{p})}, L_{(C, \vec{p})}).$$



We checked many many examples, and found that the predicted scaling identities held except possibly on particular loci.

We have similar results for bundles that don't have  $\Delta$ -invariant zero rank scaling.

We have similar results for bundles that don't have  $\Delta$ -invariant zero rank scaling.

For example, pairs  $(X, L)$  isomorphic to Coble's Quartic Hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^7$ , and Coble's Cubic hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^8$  (BGK), and more recently in work with Prakash we look at other examples as well.

We also have some results which give conditions that guarantee geometric interpretations do exist.



## Theorem (BGK)

Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{V}[m]) = \binom{m+d}{d} \forall m$ , then

$$\binom{m+d}{d+1} c_1(\mathbb{V}) = c_1(\mathbb{V}[m]) + \mathbb{D}_m,$$

where  $\mathbb{D}_m$  is an effective Cartier divisor supported on the boundary of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ . Geometric extensions hold if and only if  $D_m = 0$ .





Our experimentation has led to some conjectures.

# Original Conjecture:

# Original Conjecture:

## Conjecture (Belkale, G, Kazanova)

Given a vector bundle of conformal blocks  $\mathbb{V}$  of type  $A$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , for every  $x = (C, \vec{p}) \in Z = \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{rt} \cup \Delta_{irr}^0$ , there is a polarized pair  $(\mathcal{X}_x, \mathcal{L}_x)$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}|_x^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(\mathcal{X}_x, \mathcal{L}_x^m).$$

# Original Conjecture:

## Conjecture (Belkale, G, Kazanova)

Given a vector bundle of conformal blocks  $\mathbb{V}$  of type  $A$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , for every  $x = (C, \vec{p}) \in Z = \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{rt} \cup \Delta_{irr}^0$ , there is a polarized pair  $(\mathcal{X}_x, \mathcal{L}_x)$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}|_x^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(\mathcal{X}_x, \mathcal{L}_x^m).$$

$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{rt}$  is the set of points in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  with one irreducible component having genus  $g$ .

# Original Conjecture:

## Conjecture (Belkale, G, Kazanova)

Given a vector bundle of conformal blocks  $\mathbb{V}$  of type  $A$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , for every  $x = (C, \vec{p}) \in Z = \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{rt} \cup \Delta_{irr}^0$ , there is a polarized pair  $(\mathcal{X}_x, \mathcal{L}_x)$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{V}|_x^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} H^0(\mathcal{X}_x, \mathcal{L}_x^m).$$

$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{rt}$  is the set of points in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  with one irreducible component having genus  $g$ .

For  $g = 0$ ,  $Z = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ .

# Modification

# Modification

## Conjecture (Belkale, G)

Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{sl}_r, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)$ , and let  $x = [C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ ,  $g \geq 2$  be any (closed) point corresponding to a nodal curve  $C$ .

Then there is a projective variety  $\mathcal{X}_x$  and a big (equivalent to an ample plus effective) line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_x$  on  $\mathcal{X}_x$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{V}[m]|_{\mathcal{X}}^* \cong \bigoplus_{\tilde{m} \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{X}_x, \mathcal{L}_x^{\tilde{m}}),$$

where  $\tilde{m} = m$  if  $C$  is an irreducible curve, and  $\tilde{m} = \frac{m}{2}$  otherwise. If  $r = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_x$  is ample.



# Work in progress

# Work in progress

Expected Theorem (Belkale, G)

# Work in progress

## Expected Theorem (Belkale, G)

Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)$  be any vector bundle of conformal blocks on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , and  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  any point. There is an isomorphism of algebras

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{V}[m]|_{(C; \vec{p})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(\text{Parbun}_G(C, \vec{p}), \mathcal{L}_G(C, \vec{p})^{\otimes m}),$$

# Work in progress

## Expected Theorem (Belkale, G)

Let  $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{g}, \vec{\lambda}, \ell)$  be any vector bundle of conformal blocks on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , and  $(C, \vec{p}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  any point. There is an isomorphism of algebras

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{V}[m]|_{(C; \vec{p})}^* \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(\text{Parbun}_G(C, \vec{p}), \mathcal{L}_G(C, \vec{p})^{\otimes m}),$$

$\text{Parbun}_G(C, \vec{p})$  quasi-parabolic  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ ,  
 $\mathcal{L}_G(C, \vec{p})$  natural line bundle.

Thank you!